## 2024 CODE CHANGE PROPOSAL GROUP A PROCESS: IMC

| Proposal # | Part # | Committee | Primary Code Section | Topic: General info on what the proposal is about. Example-The proposal is on Fire Walls. | Comments: Provide a brief overview of the proposal. Include a short reason on your recommendation identified in Column F. Add your intials to your comments for tracking. | SS--Strongly support; S-Support; N-Neutral; O-Oppose; SO-Strongly oppose | CAH#1 W-Watch; T-Testify NA-No Action | CAH#1 results: AS= as submitted, AM by: As modified by..., D= Disapproval | Vote | CAH#1 results Summary | OBOA CAH#1 Comments |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| M17-24 | | Mechanical Code Committee | | Removes the permanent ladder requirement | Allowing only a receiver for attaching a specialized ladder this is not the intent of code | O | W | D | 14-0 | Proposal introduced new code language that the committee unanimously agreed was unenforceable code language. | |
| M22-24 | | Mechanical Code Committee | 401.1, 401.2, SECTION 403, 403.1, 403.3, 403.3.1, 403.3.2, 403.3.2.1, 403.3.2.2, 403.3.2.3, TABLE 403.3.2.3 | Dwelling unit ventilation | Good changes however, the layout now seems dwelling unit centric. It should be rewritten with general requirements and exceptions for other specific uses that specific design requirements apply. | S | W | D | 14-0 | Proposal does not make clear the current ventilation requirements. | |
| M25-24 Part I | | Mechanical Code Committee | 401.2.1 (New), 502.21 (New), 502.21.1 (New), 502.21.2 (New), 502.21.3 (New), 502.21.4 (New) | Hazardous exhaust requirments | ???? Need to look into this further why is brake glass push button not indicated and even ignored, but the addition of efficient is a positive thing for these considerations | N | W | AS | 14-0 | Requirements based on best practices and ensure basic fire and life safety measures. | |
| M41-24 | | Mechanical Code Committee | 506.3.2.5 | Grease duct test. A | up for discussion not sure it's really necessary to remove the light test that has been used effectively for quite a while, but I would not be dismissive if the board and experience by inspectors that it is not effective | O | W | D | 14-0 | A light test is usually more effective, and this proposal removes a methodology commonly approved. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |